

From the Author

1500/222⁵⁰¹

THE
NECESSITY of RELIGIOUS EDUCATION,

PROVED BY
ARGUMENTS deduced from the SCRIPTURAL DOCTRINE of the CORRUPTION
of HUMAN NATURE,

WITH AN
INQUIRY into the TRUE MEANING of ST. PETER's POSITION,
That "*Charity shall cover the Multitude of Sins.*"

A
SERMON,

PREACHED on SUNDAY, December 22, 1799,

At the PARISH-CHURCH of ST. PAUL,

FOR THE
BENEFIT of the CHARITY-SCHOOLS established in the CITY of EXETER.

WITH
A Variety of NOTES and ILLUSTRATIONS.

By JONAS DENNIS, S. C. L.

OF EXETER COLLEGE, OXFORD,
PREBENDARY of CARSWELL, AND CHAPLAIN TO THE RIGHT WORSHIPFUL THE MAYOR
OF EXETER.

"*Being by Nature born in Sin and the Children of Wrath.*" Church Catechism.
"*The End of the Commandment is Charity, out of a pure Heart, and of a good Conscience, and*
"*of Faith unfeigned.*" 1 Tim. i. 5.

EXETER: PRINTED AND SOLD BY TREWMAN AND SON;
Sold also by RIVINGTONS, London; HANWELL, Oxford; MERRILLS, Cambridge; HAZARD, Bath; COTTLE,
Bristol; LOYCE, Tiverton; CARTHEW, Cullompton; and all other Bookfellers.

* * * The Profits of this Publication will be applied to the Promotion of the said bene-
volent Institution.

ARGUMENTS extracted from the SECRETARY'S REPORT on the COMMISSIONION
of the MAN NATURE

A. R. R. W.



At the EARLHARTS CO. of 44, PAUL

• • • • •

A Variety of NOTES and ILLUSTRATIONS.

10-10-68

TO THE
RIGHT WORSHIPFUL
THE MAYOR AND CHAMBER OF EXETER,
IN TESTIMONY OF GRATITUDE
FOR THE APPOINTMENT RECENTLY CONFERRED UPON HIM,
BY THEIR FAVOUR,

THIS DISCOURSE,

PREACHED

FOR THE BENEFIT OF

A BENEVOLENT INSTITUTION

ESTABLISHED IN THE CITY, OVER WHICH THEY PRESIDE,

IS

RESPECTFULLY INSCRIBED

BY THEIR

MOST DUTIFUL

AND FAITHFUL SERVANT,

JONAS DENNIS.

Exeter, Feb. 10th, 1800.



TO THE
MAYOR OF EXETER

IN TESTIMONY OF GRATITUDE
FOR THE APPOINTMENT RECENTLY CONFERRED UPON HIM

THIS DISCOURSE

A REVIEW OF THE INSTITUTION

ESTABLISHED IN 1841, AND A SHORT HISTORY

JOHN DUNN

Exeter, 1868

A S E R M O N.

LUKE, Chap. xviii. Verse 16.

“SUFFER LITTLE CHILDREN TO COME UNTO ME, AND
FORBID THEM NOT.”

AND who would forbid them!—Can any one pretend to call himself a Christian (A), and yet refuse to obey this positive command of God our Saviour, who desireth not the death of any of his sinful creatures, but willeth that all men should be saved, by coming unto the knowledge of the truth? (B)—Without this knowledge, what is man?—Without the knowledge of the truth as it is in Jesus, every son and daughter of Adam—however improved in understanding—however respectable in character—however exalted in rank—however elevated above the ordinary distresses, the common calamities, incident to inferior stations of life—the high no less than the low—the rich no less than the poor—

(A) The Capital Letters refer to the General Notes at the End.

poor—the king that sitteth upon his throne, no less than the beggar that lieth on the dunghill, is doubtless in the sight of God, “wretched, and miserable, and poor, and blind, and naked.” Whence, then, is this knowledge to be derived? “Not from nature, not from natural wisdom, nor the world’s philosophy (c);” but from the declarations of the divine will, revealed in the scriptures of truth, those unerring oracles of the living God. Thence only can we learn the original design of our being sent into this transitory—this troublesome world.—Thence only can we discover either the corrupt and helpless nature of ourselves, or the merciful kindness of that pure and perfect Being, with whom we have to do.—Thence only can we ascertain how God commendeth his love towards us, in that when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly.—Thence only can we become acquainted with those means of grace, by the sincere and diligent use of which, we shall not fail to obtain admittance into that world, where is fulness of joy at God’s right hand for evermore.—In short, thence only can we acquire that wisdom which is from above—that spiritual knowledge, which is able to make

* Rev. iii. 17.



make us wise unto salvation, through faith which is in Christ Jesus.

To evince the indispensable duty of communicating this knowledge to the children of our indigent brethren, through the medium of religious education, (and that is the more immediate object of the present discourse) I know no topic, from whence more powerful arguments can possibly be deduced, than the scriptural doctrine of Original sin—a doctrine which undoubtedly constitutes the very foundation, out of which the whole structure of the Christian religion may justly be said to arise—a doctrine which, for this reason, can neither be too earnestly inculcated by the preacher, nor be too clearly understood by the hearer, of the Gospel (D).

Original sin then is, as the ninth article of our church very justly defines it, “ the fault and corruption of the nature of every
“ man, that naturally is engendered of the offspring of Adam,
“ whereby man is very far (E) gone from original righteousness,
“ and is of his own nature inclined to evil.” So decisive is the language of our church with respect to this important doctrine,

and

and equally decisive is the uniform tenor of the sacred volume: For the scripture hath concluded all under sin, and at the same time hath revealed the original cause of this depravity, namely, the voluntary transgression and consequent punishment of our first parent (F). By one man, sin entered into the world, and by his disobedience many were made sinners. He who was originally created upright—made after the image of God (G)—fashioned like unto the Creator in innocence, in purity, in knowledge, in righteousness, and true holiness—by the violation of a positive command of the Almighty, entirely lost this pristine dignity—completely changed this excellent nature—became radically corrupt—totally alienated from the love of God—and necessarily prone to sin. Adam having thus fallen from his high estate, it became morally impossible that his posterity should *naturally* inherit what he their primogenitor had *justly* forfeited.—It became morally impossible that out of the loins of one, who by the wilful commission of sin had rendered his whole nature uniformly corrupt and degenerate, should proceed any other than a corrupt and degenerate race. For “who can bring a clean thing out of an unclean?” Accordingly the immediate offspring of Adam were begotten, not in the image and

and after the likeness of God, as their father was originally created, but in the image and after the likeness of their fallen—their corrupt—their degenerate—their sinful parent. For it is recorded, that “Adam begat a son in his own likeness, after his image.”

And let it not presumptuously be thought that these awful consequences of the fall are in the least degree derogatory to the justice of God, and on that account are only imaginary, and do not in reality exist. Consider, whether, even in human affairs, a similar line of procedure may not, in some instances, be traced. Behold the traitor,—convicted before a tribunal of his fellow-creatures of one of the most flagrant crimes which can be committed by man in a state of civilized society—The punishment justly inflicted by the offended laws of his country, not only affects the criminal *himself* in the forfeiture of life, but even extends to his remotest *posterity* in the deprivation of civil honours—in the degradation of rank, in the loss of title, in the confiscation of property. Thus, even according to man's own ideas of equity, it is not deemed unjust that in the present world (H) the son should bear the iniquity of the father, and that the sins of the

B

fathers

fathers should be visited upon the children that are yet unborn—upon the generations that are yet for to come.

Who, then, art thou, O arrogant man, that presumest to arraign the justice of thy Creator, and in the pride of thy heart darest to reply against God?—Whoever thou be, rest assured, as long as thou continuest unconvinced that the fatal consequences of the fall are justly inflicted by God, and are sensibly experienced by man, in the total corruption of human nature—with all thy boasted, but vain pretensions to *rational* religion (1), thou art not better than an infidel, and shouldst thou die unconverted, wilt most assuredly receive an infidel's reward.

But in contemplating the punishment judicially inflicted by God, and naturally incurred by all mankind, in consequence of the transgression of Adam, who was the federal head (κ) the representative of all his descendants, it concerns us, not so much to comprehend the *justice* of this punishment, as to be convinced of its *reality*: since if it be real it must *necessarily* be just, for “shall not “the Judge of all the earth do right?”^d Let us then inquire into

its

^d Gen. xviii. 25.

its actual existence.—It will bear investigation.—Let us therefore examine the fact.—I will appeal to the testimony of your own experience—And let every one who now hears me, whether young or old, whether male or female, lay his hand upon his heart—let him deliberate—let him ponder—and consider—and search—and examine his own conscience—and then, let him solemnly answer to himself, as he stands here in the presence of an all-seeing God, whether he has never perceived, what the Apostle appropriately terms, “a law in his members warring against the law of his mind, and bringing him into subjection to the law of sin.”—Let him diligently inquire of himself, whether under the influence of example, of temptation, or of passion, he has never acted contrary to his own principles—whether he has never sinned against light and knowledge—whether he has never broken his own vows and resolutions—whether he does not possess a secret inclination to “walk not by faith, but by sight.”—Let him also seriously ask himself, whether he does not feel a strong propensity to “set his affections not on things above, but on things on the earth”^e—to be immersed in temporal pursuits, so as to pay little regard to spiritual

B 2

concerns

^e Rom. vii. 23.^f 2 Cor. v. 7.^g Col. iii. 2.

concerns—to be ensnared by the cares, and riches, and pleasures of this perishable life, so as to have no earnest desire after those attainments of the soul, which alone can qualify him for the enjoyments of that life which is to last for ever.

Indeed every one who habituates himself seriously to reflect upon what passes daily within his own breast, must be conscious of this natural proneness to sin, a few discriminating features of which I have attempted faintly to delineate. In different characters it may vary in appearance, and under different circumstances it may vary in degree, but in its specific nature this corrupt principle is always the same, and exerts an *universal*, though *varied*, influence over the whole human race (L). Indeed the *general* existence of this corruption was acknowledged by the Grecian Sage, who admitted that the majority of mankind were wicked (M). But it requires no small share of credulity to believe that even this *general* prevalence of human depravity, which, by the declaration of an unenlightened heathen was allowed to exist, can *originate* in causes accidental or adventitious—in the force of example—in the strength of temptation—or in the power of passion: since the effect is frequently produced at times when it is impossible

fible that such causes can operate in the smallest degree. For human depravity will often betray itself in opposition to the best example, in the absence of temptation, and in the coolest moments of reason. Of passion, it is obvious to remark, that it exerts itself in sudden and temporary emotions only ; therefore it cannot be passion, which of itself actuates the conduct of many, whose whole lives display nothing but one, uniform, continued, uninterrupted course of folly and of sin. And even in others of a more decent character, who yet are equally uninfluenced by a religious principle, or, in other words, who are not in a state of grace, even in such, it is not difficult to trace their fairest and most specious actions to impure and unworthy motives (M)—to vanity—to ostentation—to ambition—to the love of popularity—to the love of self—or to any motive, except that which alone is pure and acceptable—a desire to promote the glory of God.

In order, however, that we may not deceive our own hearts—that we may not labour under doubt and perplexity—or be bewildered in the intricate mazes of uncertain speculation and of disputable hypothesis, concerning this hereditary corruption of our nature, the holy Scriptures describe it with the minutest precision.

They

They assert that so far from being *casual* or *fortuitous*, this corruption is *innate* and *inherent* in us. Thus, David, convinced by self-experience of the natural depravity of the human race, bursts out into this humiliating confession: "Behold I was *shapen* "in iniquity, and in sin did my mother *conceive* me.^h" And Job with his usual sublimity of interrogation, asks: "What is man "that he should be clean, or he that is *born* of a woman, that he "should be righteous? Behold, the heavens are not clean in his "sight! How much more abominable and filthy is man, who "drinketh iniquity like water?"—The Scriptures assert that so far from being *partial*, or *limited* to any particular individuals, this corruption is *universal*, and *extended* to all mankind. Thus the Almighty is represented as "looking down from heaven upon the "children of men, to see if there were *any* that would understand "and seek after God. But they are *all* gone out of the way; "they are *altogether* become abominable: there is *none* that doeth "good, no, not *one*^k"—Solomon declares that "there is not a "just man *upon earth*, that doeth good and sinneth not"—Saint James says expressly that "in many things we offend *all*^m"—Saint John assures us that "if we say that we have *no* sin, we deceive "ourselves,

^h Ps. li. 5. ⁱ Job, xv. 14, 15, 16. ^k Ps. liii. 2, 3. ^l Ecclef. vii. 20. ^m James, iii. 2.

“ourselves, and the truth is not in us. If we say that we have “not sinned, we make God a liar, and his word is not in us”ⁿ—The Scriptures assert that, so far from being a *superficial* infection, this corruption is a *radical* disease. Thus the Apostle Saint Paul, lamenting the natural propensity to sin, against which he constantly struggled, says, “I know that in me, that is, in my *flesh* dwelleth “no good thing.”^o And describing the contrast between those who continue carnally-minded, and those who are become spiritually-minded, he declares positively that “they that are in the “*flesh*, can not please God.”^p—The Scriptures assert that so far from enfeebling the faculties of the *mind* only, this corruption perverts the affections of the *heart* also. Thus Solomon tells us that “foolishness is bound up in the *heart* of a child.”^q The prophet Jeremiah assures us that “the *heart* is deceitful above all things, “and desperately wicked.”^r Our Saviour informs us that “out “of the *heart* proceed evil thoughts, murders, adulteries, fornications, thefts, false witness, blasphemies.”^s And in the eighth chapter of Genesis, God does in his own person expressly declare, that “the imagination of man’s *heart* is evil from his youth.”^t

Of

ⁿ 1 John, i. 8, 10. ^o Rom, vii. 18. ^p Rom. viii. 8. ^q Prov. xxii. 15.

^r Jer. xvii. 9. ^s Mat. xv. 19. ^t Gen. viii. 21.

Of this declaration it is particularly important to observe, that it was made immediately after the flood, at a time when the whole world consisted of eight persons only, and that these very persons do not appear to have been remarkably degenerate. On the contrary, of one of them it is recorded, that he was not only a preacher of righteousness to others, but was also himself righteous before God—that he “was a just man, and perfect in his generations, and that he walked with God.”^u Hence it is by no means unreasonable to conclude, that, in consideration of his comparative renovation of heart and sincere obedience to the divine will, (expressed in the language of scripture by the terms uprightness, innocence, purity, integrity, holiness, righteousness, justice, perfection,) he and his family were preserved from destruction, whilst, on account of their consummate depravity, the rest of mankind were cut off from the face of the earth. Notwithstanding which, Noah was unquestionably one of those, whose hearts were declared to be evil from their youth, since the declaration is applied to man *universally*, without any exception whatever, and is precisely the same as that made *antecedently* to the awful catastrophe of the deluge. Viewed in this light, the single passage

^u Gen. vi. 9.

passage in question affords of itself to every unprejudiced mind, ample demonstration of the truth of the doctrine which I am endeavouring to establish.—The Scriptures assert moreover that, so far from furnishing us with any *excuse* for our spiritual infirmities, or with any *extenuation* of our actual sins, this corruption precludes all hope of justification before God by any works that we can perform, and provokes most justly God's *wrath* and *indignation* against us. Thus, David, impressed with the firm conviction of this important truth, and deeply sensible of the necessity of self-abasement and self-condemnation, offers up this earnest supplication to the divine Majesty: "Enter not into judgment with thy servant, O Lord, for in thy sight shall *no man living be justified.*"^w And Saint Paul, speaking to his Ephesian converts respecting their former conversation, says: "We were by nature children of *wrath.*"^x

In short, to complete this humiliating account of our fallen and sinful nature, the Scriptures assert that, so far from the *possibility* of being subdued by our own *unassisted strength*, this corruption renders us entirely *helpless*, and *incapacitates* us from making by

C

our

^w Ps. cxliii. 2.^x Eph. ii. 3.

our own natural power any successful efforts whatever. Thus the Apostle of the Gentiles declares that naturally we are unable not barely to perform a good *action*, but even to conceive a good *thought* : for he says plainly, that “ we are not sufficient of ourselves to “ *think* any thing as of ourselves,” and that “ the natural man “ *receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God ; for they are fool- “ ishness unto him, neither can he know them.*” — And yet, how- ever the religious formalist may despise the doctrine of *regene- ration*—however the nominal Christian may revile the advocate for *conversion*—our gracious Redeemer declares, in the strongest and most unequivocal terms, that unless this corruption be over- come—unless the mind be *renewed*—unless the heart be *trans- formed*—it is impossible that we can be made meet to be partakers of the inheritance of the Saints in light. For in reply to the question put to him by Nicodemus, Jesus answered, “ Verily, “ Verily, I say unto thee, except a man be *born again*, he can *not* enter into the kingdom of God.^a” And on another occasion he spoke in language equally plain and decisive : “ Verily, I say “ unto you, except ye be *converted* and become as little children, “ ye shall *not* enter into the kingdom of heaven.^b”

Such

^a 2 Cor. iii. 5. ^b 1 Cor. ii. 14. ^c John, iii. 3. ^d Mat. xviii. 3.

Such is the natural state of fallen man, as described in the sacred volume—"a being shapen in iniquity"^c—"a child of wrath"^d—"a servant of sin"^e—"a debtor to the flesh"^f—"in bondage to the world"^g—"under the power of Satan"^h—"in enmity against God"ⁱ—"not subject to the law of God"—"unable to please God."

"What !" exclaims the astonished advocate for the dignity of human nature, and justification by self-righteousness: "Would you drive us to despair?—You maintain, that the corruption of our nature exposes us to the wrath of God, and yet that we do not possess power to subdue it!" To such an objector, although I am free to confess, that his statement does in reality contain the sum and substance of this essential doctrine of our church, which I have undertaken to defend, yet I most decidedly refuse to admit the inference which he deduces from it, because I hesitate not to affirm that such an inference is palpably unjust, and arises from a partial and imperfect view of the subject. God forbid that the minister of an all-merciful Saviour should drive any of his fellow-

C 2

creatures

^c Ps. li. 5. ^d Eph. ii. 3. ^e John, viii. 34. ^f Rom. viii. 12. ^g Gal. iv. 3.
^h Acts, xxvii. 18. ⁱ James, iv. 4.

creatures to despair! For “as I live, saith the Lord God, I have
 “no pleasure in the death of the wicked, but that the wicked turn
 “from his way, and live.^k” If indeed no atonement had been
 made for the sins of the whole world—if there were no way for
 the ransomed to pass over—if there were no balm in Gilead, and
 no physician there—if we had it not in our power to lay hold on
 one that is mighty to save, on whose propitiation we can rely with
 confidence, and in whose righteousness we can make our boast—
 then, most assuredly, to teach that the innate corruption of our
 nature renders us obnoxious to the wrath of God, and that by our
 own strength we are unable to overcome this corruption, would
 be a doctrine calculated to produce despair. But since we know
 that our Redeemer liveth—since we know that he was offered a
 sacrifice upon the cross for the express purpose of procuring for
 us the means of deliverance from the *dominion* of sin here, no less
 than for that of obtaining redemption from the *punishment* of it
 hereafter, the doctrine of Original sin is not calculated to fill the
 mind with gloomy desperation (N), but it is calculated to fit and
 prepare the heart for accepting, with humility, with gratitude,
 and joy, this merciful invitation of a crucified Saviour: “Come
 “unto

^k Ezek. xxxiii. 11.

“unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will
 “give you rest.” For we must become deeply sensible of the
 danger of our souls, as long as they remain in their natural state,
 before we can thoroughly comprehend either the absolute *necessity*,
 or the infinite *blessing* of a Redeemer.—Until we are firmly per-
 suaded not only of the *sinfulness*, but also of the *helplessness* of our
 fallen nature—until we are brought to a firm conviction of what
 the Apostle emphatically denominates the “exceeding sinfulness
 “of sin^m”—until we feel ourselves, to use the energetic language
 of our church, “tied and bound with the chain of our sins”—it is
 altogether impossible that we can have a lively faith in God’s mercy
 through Christ, with a thankful remembrance of his death, who,
 when we were yet in a state of condemnation, paid that ransom,
 whereby alone we obtain remission of our sins, and are made par-
 takers of the kingdom of heaven.—Unless we admit that the whole
 head is sick—that the whole heart is faint—that, from the sole of
 the foot, even unto the head, there is no soundness—we shall
 never be led earnestly to apply for relief to that great Physician of
 souls, who himself took our infirmities, and bore our sicknesses.—
 Unless we perceive that the eyes of our understandings are blinded

in

¹ Mat. xi. 28.^m Rom. vii. 13.

in regard to such objects as are *spiritual*, and that the affections of our hearts are enslaved to such objects as are *sensible*—we shall never be induced, with sincere repentance and true faith, to supplicate aid, or with unfeigned humility to implore deliverance from that mysterious and incomprehensible Being, who, as on the festival we are about to celebrate, took upon himself our nature, in order that he might open the blind eyes, that he might bring out the prisoners from the prison, and them that sit in darkness, out of the prison-house.—Unless we believe—unless we know—unless we feel that we are naturally under the curse of the law, we shall never fully perceive the love of God, who, as Saint John plainly declares, “laid down his life for us;” and thereby, to use the language of another inspired Apostle, “hath redeemed us “from the curse of the law, being made a curse for us.”

Unto “God, who thus was in Christ reconciling the world unto himself,”^a are we commanded to look, not as unto a *deliverer* and *redeemer* only, but as unto a *lawgiver* and *sanctifier* also: for he came “not to destroy the law but to fulfil.”^b And although by the advent of the Messiah, the *ritual* law—*temporary* and *typical* in

^a 1 John, iii. 16.^b Gal. iii. 13.^c 2 Cor. v. 19.^d Mat. v. 17.

in its nature—the shadow of good things to come, was undoubtedly abrogated; yet the *moral* law—*universal* and *eternal* in its obligation—was thereby rendered more plain—more extensive—more binding. Since, when the Son of God died to save us, upon our embracing the terms of the Gospel-covenant, from the punishment incurred by *actual* as well as by *original* sin, he did not intend to emancipate us from obedience to the divine commands, or to procure a licence for our continuing in sin. On the contrary he “gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity, “and purify unto himself a peculiar people, zealous of good “works.” “Accordingly we are required to fulfil the law of “Christ,” who, as Saint Paul in his Epistle to the Hebrews expresses this important truth, “became the Author of eternal salvation unto all them that obey him.” In his first Epistle to Timothy, the Apostle again asserts this very same proposition, but adopts an expression somewhat different. He there says: “We “trust in the living God, who is the Saviour of all men, specially “of those that believe.” In each of these passages it is evidently the design of the inspired writer to point out the conditions of man’s acceptance with God: for which purpose in the former he
uses

* Tit. ii. 14.

* Gal. vi. 2.

† Heb. v. 9.

u 1 Tim. iv. 10.

uses the term *obey*, in the latter the term *believe*. Now except it be admitted that belief or faith, and obedience or works, though distinct and apparently opposite terms, do yet in these, and in many other instances, convey precisely the same meaning, it must necessarily follow that, not only different passages of scripture, but even different passages of the same Apostle, contradict one another, which is a supposition totally inadmissible. But it appears, from other parts of Saint Paul's Epistles, that he frequently means by *faith*, such faith as *necessarily* produces *obedience*, and by *obedience*, such obedience as *necessarily* springs from *faith*; since, like St. James, he has himself intimated that they are inseparable. For, besides a variety of other proofs which might readily be advanced in support of this position, he particularly charges him, whom by the authority and commission received from Christ, he had ordained to the ministry, and had consecrated Bishop of Ephesus to "hold faith and a good conscience, which," he adds, "some, having put away, concerning faith, have made shipwreck."^w By admitting this solution of the seeming difficulty—a solution, which naturally arises from the due consideration of this and many other passages of similar import—the long-contested question

^w 1 Tim. i. 19.

question concerning justification by faith or by works, is at once settled and determined. And indeed this is the very light in which our Church views the subject. For while, in conformity to the scriptural doctrine of justification, our eleventh article maintains that "we are accounted righteous before God, only "for the merit of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ by *faith*, and "not for our own works or deservings:" our twelfth article does at the same time declare that "good works which are the "fruits of faith, do spring out necessarily (o) of a true and lively "faith, insomuch that by them a lively faith may be as evidently "known, as a tree discerned by the fruit." So that not only from the comparison of parallel passages, but also from the decided opinion of those sound and orthodox divines who framed our articles, it is evident that on the one hand, *faith* is neither *sincere* nor *saving*, except it produce obedience; and that on the other, *obedience* is neither *pure* nor *acceptable*, except it spring from faith. Consequently the words *believe* and *obey*, when they express the conditions of man's acceptance with God, are used indiscriminately, and the one implies the other: therefore in the two passages in question, they must certainly be accounted as no other than synonymous terms; and thus the proposition asserted in each of

these passages is clearly proved to be one and the same. But here it may be asked, if we are naturally corrupt and prone to sin, how can we possibly obtain that faith, which necessarily produces such obedience, as can be in any degree pleasing and acceptable unto that perfect and omniscient Being, who is of purer eyes than to behold iniquity? Blessed be God! "He has promised to render
 "us equal to his service.—He bestows not only the precept, but
 "the power.—He does what the great poet of Ethics lamented
 "that reason could not do—He gives us arms as well as rules,"

It was one grand object of the Redeemer's sacrifice to procure the gift of the Holy Ghost, (P) in order not only to propagate with success the Christian faith, but also to enable every sincere follower of that faith, to overcome the corrupt affections of his nature, and to acquire those dispositions of righteousness and true holiness, which alone can qualify his soul for the enjoyment of future bliss. And this unspeakable gift, which, in an abundant and extraordinary measure, was bestowed on the Apostles and primitive Christians, and which, in a lesser and more ordinary degree, is likewise promised to *all that are afar off*, even unto the end of the world, is sufficient to enable us to become regenerate and renewed

in

tabernacle, we shall continue liable to be interrupted in our progress towards perfection, not only by the remaining indwelling of sin, but likewise by the assaults of our spiritual "adversary, the "Devil, who, as a roaring lion, walketh about seeking whom he "may devour.^g" For regeneration (Q), although it must originally begin and gradually advance in this life, will not become perfect and complete, until that eventful period shall arrive, which with peculiar emphasis is called "*the regeneration*, when the son "of man shall sit in the throne of his glory,^h" That we may be "renewed in the spirit of our minds"ⁱ—that we may attain unto that "holiness, without which no man shall see the Lord^k"—that thus we may be prepared against that awful—that terrible day—we are commanded continually to draw supplies of living water from the spiritual fountain (R) which is opened for sin and for uncleanness. "Seeing that we have a great high-priest, that is "passed into the heavens, Jesus the Son of God—an high-priest "which can be touched with the feeling of our infirmities, having "himself been in all points tempted like as we are, yet without "sin—therefore we are exhorted to come boldly unto the throne "of grace, that we may obtain mercy, and find grace to help "in

g 1 Peter, v. 8.

h Mat. xix. 28.

i Eph. iv. 23.

k Heb. xii. 14.

“ in time of need.” “ By grace are we saved through faith^m ” —
 “ faith cometh by hearing—and hearing by the word of God.ⁿ ”
 This word of God is contained in a book, now seldom perused (s)
 by the fashionable part of mankind, and the very existence of
 which would nearly be forgotten, were it not still read in our
 forsaken and almost empty churches (τ)—and this book is no other
 than the Bible :—a book accounted dull by the liberal—the spirited
 —the good-hearted sons of licentiousness :—esteemed uninteresting
 by the novel-reading—the sober-minded—the innocent daughters
 of dissipation : (υ)—considered merely as an obsolete statute-book,
 by them and by all, whose views—whose schemes—whose habits—
 whose maxims—whose wishes—whose desires—whose prejudices
 —whose principles uniformly combine in immediate opposition
 to—in open defiance of—that “ law of the Lord, which is an un-
 “ defiled law, converting the soul.” Instead of striving faithfully
 to keep the promise, and sincerely to perform the vow made by
 sponsors (w), in their name, at admission into the church of Christ
 —a solemn engagement deliberately undertaken in their own per-
 sons at confirmation (x), that rite through the voluntary neglect of
 which infant-baptism is not only rendered incomplete, but is even
 virtually

^l Heb. iv. 14, 15, 16.

^m Eph. ii. 8.

ⁿ Rom. x. 17.

• Ps. xix. 7.

virtually annulled—a solemn engagement again renewed at every participation of the holy eucharist—instead of striving through the aid of divine grace, conscientiously to fulfil this engagement—the majority of those, who profess and call themselves Christians, think—speak—and act, precisely in the same manner, as they would have thought—and spoken—and acted, if, from their infancy, they had repeatedly been dedicated to the faithful service—not of *God* the king of heaven—but of *Satan* the prince of this world. Their life runs directly *counter* to their baptismal vow. So far from *renouncing the devil and all his works, the pomps and vanity of this wicked world, and all the sinful lusts of the flesh*:—they *resist not* the devil, *but practise* his works:—they *avoid not* pomp, *but entirely give themselves up* unto vanity:—they *crucify not* the flesh with the affections and lusts, *but pamper and stimulate* and *gratify* every evil propensity of their nature, to as great a degree as they possibly can, *consistently* with the preservation of external decency, and the good opinion of the world—that world which is *their* God. So far from *believing all* the articles of the Christian faith:—they are as *totally unconcerned about*—I had almost said, as *profoundly ignorant of*—the peculiar doctrines of this faith, as if they were deprived of the use of the scriptures by

Popish

Popish tyranny, or were precluded from attendance on public worship by Gallic infidelity. So far from *keeping* God's holy will and commandments, and walking in the same all the days of their life:—they uniformly frame their conduct, not by the precepts of religion, but by, I know not what, imaginary and ridiculous laws of honor—of gentility—of fashion, implicitly receiving as doctrines, and religiously obeying as rules of life, the arbitrary commandments of men. Such is the conduct of the *generality* of the world. They bear the name of Christians:—they lead the life of infidels. It requires, however, no great depth of penetration to discover what is the grand source of all this nominal—this formal—this pharisaical religion—this “holding the truth in unrighteousness.” The reason is evidently this: the conduct of the Bereans is not followed:—they “*searched the scriptures daily.*”^q The exhortation of the Redeemer is disregarded, his words are, “*Search the scriptures, for in them ye think ye have eternal life, and they are*” “they which testify of me,”^r

From the general outline of the Christian dispensation, which I have now stated, I trust I have incontrovertibly established that
essential

^p Rom. i. 18.

^q Acts, xvii. 11.

^r John, v. 39.

essential doctrine, the corruption of human nature, called by our church original sin: and, by the arguments naturally arising out of this doctrine, have proved, not only the absolute necessity of searching the Scriptures, but likewise the dreadful consequences, which even to persons in the middle and higher stations of life, usually result from neglect of this duty. If then, you my brethren, are fully convinced that all men are *by nature born in sin and the children of wrath*—if you are convinced that, so situated, they stand in need of those means, which are prescribed by the appointment of God for the sanctification of this corrupt nature, through “the washing of regeneration and renewing of the Holy Ghost.”—if you are convinced that “the Holy Scriptures are able to make men wise unto salvation, through faith which is in Christ Jesus:”—then indeed I need not add another argument to prevail upon you cheerfully to comply with the exhortation in the text: *Suffer little children to come unto me and forbid them not.*

But before I conclude a discourse on such an occasion as the present, I can not refrain from cautioning you against a most erroneous motive to beneficence, which I fear is exceedingly prevalent at this

* Titus, iii. 5.

† 2 Tim. iii. 15.

this day. A notion seems to be very generally entertained, even by some, who still would feel themselves extremely hurt at the idea of being suspected of infidelity (v), that the exercise of charity, especially in the instance of alms-giving, will make amends for the commission of sin, and will serve as a sort of sponge, to wipe out those spots in their lives, which the Gospel declares that the blood of Christ alone can expiate. In support of this horrible conceit (z), Scripture is sometimes produced, particularly that passage of Saint Peter's first Epistle, in which this Apostle predicates of charity, that it shall "*cover the multitude of sins.*"^u Never was the true meaning of any one text in all the sacred volume, more *shamefully* perverted, as evidently appears by comparing it with the twelfth verse of the tenth chapter of Proverbs, to which a reference is made in the margin of our bibles, and by all the most judicious commentators (AA). The verse runs thus, *hatred stirreth up strife, but love covereth all sin.* Now the proposition contained in the latter part of this verse, is precisely parallel to that asserted in the passage in question, love being synonymous with charity, and only another translation of one and the same word. The true sense then of the expression *covering sin*, which

E

is

^u 1 Peter, iv. 8.

is described as an effect produced by the operation of love, may easily be collected from considering the nature of that effect, which, in the former part of the same verse, is attributed to the opposite quality, hatred, namely, stirring up strife. By stirring up strife can only be meant, either contentiously to provoke another to commit sin, or unnecessarily to expose another who has committed sin: for these two are the only interpretations, which can fairly be given to this plain and easy phrase. Therefore covering being put in opposition to stirring up, which is thus shewn to signify either provoking or exposing, must necessarily have the contrary signification, that of preventing or concealing. If then by prevention and concealment love covers sin, it must follow, that this is the way in which charity covers it also: since it has been shewn that charity and love are one and the same thing. And in truth this explanation of the term *covering*, applied to charity, perfectly coincides with two particular characteristics of that Christian grace, which are mentioned by Saint Paul. For as charity is "*not itself easily provoked,*"^w neither will it contentiously provoke another to commit sin, but will endeavour to prevent him: and as charity "*rejoiceth not itself in iniquity,*"^x neither will it rejoice in exposing unnecessarily,

^w 1 Cor. xiii. 5.^x 1 Cor xiii. 6.

unnecessarily, the iniquity of another, but will endeavour to conceal it. In this sense, and in no other can it be said that charity shall cover the multitude of sins—nay, that it does more, that it covereth all sin. And what, may we not now ask, is become of the proof, supposed to arise from this passage of Saint Peter, in support of the absurd idea, that the exercise of charity will make amends for the commission of sin, by covering or hiding it from the wrath of God? If this were true, man would then become a Saviour to himself, and, in direct contradiction to the doctrine of the Gospel, would be justified by works of righteousness, which he could himself perform, and not by a lively faith in God's mercy through Christ.

But while I endeavour to guard you against being actuated by an erroneous motive, in the exercise of charity, let me exhort you to practise this duty in all its branches, upon the true Christian motive—that motive, which as I have already observed, is alone pure and acceptable—a *desire to promote the glory of God*. Let me also remind you, that mere *benevolence* or *humanity* does not necessarily constitute *Christian love* or *charity*. They differ in their nature, in their cause, and in their effects. The one is a heathen

virtue:—the other a Christian grace.—The one arises from a selfish desire of removing from our own breast that pain, which is occasioned by the sight of distress;—the other arises “out of a pure heart, and of a good conscience, and of faith unfeigned.”—The one is therefore solicitous to relieve only the wants of the body, those temporal distresses, which are seen:—the other enlarges its sphere of action, by endeavouring to alleviate also the wants of the soul, those spiritual distresses, which are not seen. Mere *benevolence* may accidentally be felt by a *Socinian*, a *Deist*, or an *Atheist*:—*true charity* can be experienced by no man upon earth, who is not a *sincere Christian*, because it arises from *faith unfeigned*, and he who possesses not faith unfeigned, can by no means be accounted a *sincere Christian*.

Let me not, however, from these observations, be thought desirous of depreciating human benevolence:—My object is to cleanse and purify its source—to exalt and ennoble its nature—and thus to enhance its intrinsic value.—My object is from a principle of pagan ethics to change it into a principle of religious duty.—My object is to advance it from the service of the heathen moralists

moralists—of Seneca—of Epictetus—of Antoninus—and to enlist it under the banners of Christ, “the captain of our salvation,” “the author and finisher of our faith.”^a By this means alone can I hope to obtain success in the cause I now plead, for moralists are *occasionally* humane—Christians are *habitually* tender-hearted. By this means alone can I hope to stimulate you to exercise genuine benevolence, when, after having endeavoured to affect your hearts as Christians, I now make one appeal to your feelings as men. Let me then call upon you to contemplate for a moment the dreadful consequences which usually result from the corruption of human nature, when this corruption is not restrained by the operation of a religious principle, conveyed into the mind through the channel of early instruction. Of all the various characters among the lower orders of society, in which these consequences are most strongly displayed, there is one, which is far more melancholy—far more distressing than it is in the power of the tongue to describe, or of the imagination to conceive. If any object be in the smallest degree calculated to excite, in every feeling breast, the liveliest emotions of sympathy and woe, it is—the wretched—the miserable—the poor—the helpless—the friendless

^a Heb. ii. 10.^a Heb. xii. 5.

less—the comfortless—the forlorn—the despised—the outcast—
 prostitute. Behold her deserted by her vile seducer—turning
 from the paths of life—“forfaking the guide of her youth—for-
 “getting the covenant of her God” —sacrificing upon the altar of
 Satan every hope—every comfort—every thing dear and valuable
 to human existence—reputation—honor—virtue—innocence—
 peace—health—life—and, awful thought ! that which is infinitely
 more precious than life itself—an immortal soul.—View her—
 running the mad, but short career of sin, and, like her master,
 the Devil, tempting others to become partakers of her evil deeds—
 boasting of her numerous victims, and glorying in her shame—
 feeling the misery of her condition, and knowing not how to
 escape—oppressed with the bitter upbraidings of conscience, and
 stifling her pain by adding drunkenness to the black catalogue of
 sins—labouring under complicated disease, the natural consequence
 of her crimes, and at last, expiring in agony, in horror, and
 despair. In the anguish of her soul, she seems to “look for some
 “to have pity on her—but there is no one—neither finds she any
 “to comfort her.” And, poor creature ! whither can she look
 for comfort ?—To the world ?—The world despises her.—To her
 acquaintance ?

^b Prov. ii. 17.

^c Pl. lxix. 20f

acquaintance?—Her acquaintance neglect her.—To her friends?—Her friends forsake her.—To her relations?—Her relations disown her.—To her parents?—They are no more, she has “brought down their grey hairs with sorrow to the grave.”—Can she look to her own heart for consolation?—No: her heart upbraids her.—Can she look to heaven for deliverance?—No: her affections are set *not* on things *above*, but on things *on the earth*: her soul is *earthly, sensual, devilish*.—Can she look to her Saviour to give her rest?—Alas!—She knows him not—For *want of religious instruction* she knows him not—she knows nothing of him but his name—that sacred name, which with lips full of cursing and blasphemy, she has so often taken in vain (BB):

Go to now ye men of pleasure, as ye falsely call yourselves—View the misery—the *exquisite* misery, which the criminal gratification of your unrestrained—your pampered—your brutal lust has either originally occasioned, or has in any degree promoted. With such a picture before his eyes, and the picture is by no means overcharged, but represents a scene daily exhibited in the lurking-places of guilt—in the dreary abodes of wretchedness—with this
picture

picture before him, that man must have a heart of stone, who will dare to maintain that what the world, from an indifference to religion, commonly called *charity*, is pleased to denominate a venial foible—thoughtless imprudence—youthful levity—natural frailty; but what the holy scriptures term *fornication*, is not a sin—a deadly sin. If he makes God a liar, in refusing to believe the divine declaration, that “*no fornicator shall inherit the kingdom of God*”—let him for once contemplate the *consequences*, which *naturally* result from the commission of this crime. If after this he can attempt, as many—too many do, to justify—to vindicate—to palliate—or under any circumstances whatever, to extenuate this his darling lust—he proves himself to be not only a *determined infidel*, but a *hardened brute*—one who, with all his affectation of *refined philanthropy and sympathetic sensibility*, deserves not the name of *man*.

My brethren, let me prevail upon *you* to shew yourselves not only *men*, but *Christians*.—Let me prevail upon you, by the example of private conduct—by the exercise of public authority (cc)—and above all, by communicating the knowledge of revealed religion

ligion to the rising generation—let me prevail upon you to strain every nerve in repelling *the rapid progress of impiety and licentiousness, and that deluge of profaneness, immorality, and every kind of vice* (DD), which hath of late years so rapidly broken in upon us; and which, if not speedily averted, must eventually terminate in the utter destruction of this hitherto highly favoured nation. Similar causes have already produced their correspondent effects in a neighbouring country, on which the most dreadful judgments of an offended God are now inflicting. The example is awful—it is designed for our admonition—God grant that in season we may take warning. Let us, therefore, strike at the root of our national transgressions, by spreading abroad the light of that religion which alone can *transform the heart*. Bearing in mind the corruption of our nature, and grateful for having been brought to the knowledge of divine grace and faith in Christ, let us testify the *sincerity of our faith by our works*. Let us not only instruct our own children and dependants in the ways of God's laws, and in the works of his commandments, but let us also not withhold this good—this greatest possible good—from all others to whom it is due, if it be in the power of our hand to do it.

Are not these little ones our brethren?—"Are they not of our
 "flesh and of our bones?"—Are they not like us the offspring of
fallen Adam, and partakers of his *corrupt nature*?—Ought we not
 then to afford them every means which God hath vouchsafed for
 the purpose of *subduing* this *corrupt nature*?—Ought we not to use
 every exertion to bring them up in the nurture and admonition of
 the Lord? This we certainly shall not fail to do, if we are Chris-
 tians in *deed* and in *truth*, as well as in *profession* and *name*.—
 "Then to do good and to communicate we shall not forget,"
 knowing that "with such sacrifices" (if offered up from pure mo-
 tives), "God is at all times well pleased."^s—Then we shall all of
 us, upon this and upon every other occasion, give alms after our
 power.—Then we shall not limit our liberality to the usual dona-
 tion, from any foolish apprehension of being esteemed ostentatious;
 —on the contrary, if we "have much we shall give plentifully—
 "if we have little we shall do our diligence gladly to give of that
 "little,"^b and so gather the good reward of a "conscience void of
 "offence,"ⁱ in the day of adversity. And at the great judgment-
 day, when before Angels and Archangels, and all the company of
 Heaven, we shall solemnly be called upon to give an account of
 our

^f Gen. ii. 23.^g Heb. xiii. 16.^h Tobit, iv. 8, 9.ⁱ Acts, xxiv. 16.

our own works—then, when the secrets of all hearts shall be revealed—may we be found to have been actuated, in this, and in every other instance of our duty, by the Christian motives of *piety* to God, and *charity* to man. And at that awful day, may we all be pardoned and accepted, not for any works of righteousness which we have done, but through the meritorious atonement of Jesus Christ—“our Saviour^k” and “our Redeemer^l”—“our Mediator,^m” “our Advocate,ⁿ” and “our Intercessor^o”—“our Prophet,^p” “our Priest,^q” and “our King”—“our Lord,^r and “our God.” (EE).

^k Titus, i. 4. ^l Eph. i. 7. ^m Heb. xii. 24. ⁿ 1 John, ii. 1. ^o Heb. vii. 25.

^p Luke, xxiv. 19. ^q Heb. vii. 17. ^r 1 Tim. vi. 15. ^s 1 Cor. xv. 47.

^t Isaiah, ix. 6. Ib. xlv. 6. Ib. liv. 5. John, xx. 28. Rom. ix. 5. 1 Tim. iii. 16.
Titus, ii. 13. John, i. 1.

AND

AND thou, Son of Man, be not afraid of them, neither be afraid of their words, though briers and thorns be with thee, and thou dost dwell among scorpions: be not afraid of their words, nor be dismayed at their looks, though they be a rebellious house. And thou shalt speak my words unto them, whether they will hear, or whether they will forbear, for they are most rebellious.

Ezekiel, ii. 6. 7.

SON of Man, I have made thee a watchman unto the house of Israel: therefore hear the word at my mouth, and give them warning from me. When I say unto the wicked, thou shalt surely die; and thou givest him not warning, nor speakest to warn the wicked from his wicked way, to save his life: the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity, but his blood will I require at thy hand. Yet if thou warn the wicked, and he turn not from his wickedness, nor from his wicked way, he shall die in his iniquity; but thou hast delivered thy soul.

Ezekiel, iii. 17, 18, 19.

I, even I am he that comforteth you: who art thou, that thou shouldst be afraid of a man that shall die, and of the son of man that shall be made as grass? And hast feared continually every day, because of the fury of the oppressor, as if he were ready to destroy? And where is the fury of the oppressor?

Isaiah, li. 12.

CRY aloud, spare not, lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and shew my people their transgression, and the house of Jacob their sins.

Isaiah, lviii. 1.

NOTES AND ILLUSTRATIONS.

(A) *Call himself a Christian.*] “Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven, but he that doeth the will of my father, which is in heaven.” Mat. vii. 21, 22.

(B) *Knowledge of the truth.*] “God our Saviour, who will have all men to be saved, and come unto the knowledge of the truth.” 1 Tim. ii. 3, 4. Unless this and many other passages of similar import be expunged from the Bible, it is unaccountable how any man can maintain the doctrine, formerly called *reprobation*, but now known by the milder name of *preterition*.

(C) *World's philosophy.*] See the admirable Charge delivered to the Clergy of his Diocese, by the Bishop of Durham, in the year 1797, in which the indispensable necessity of inculcating the peculiar doctrines of Christianity is insisted on with such cogency of reasoning and energy of language, that I cannot forbear producing one passage, in hopes that from this specimen, the reader will be induced to give that attentive perusal to the whole of this admirable Charge, which it so justly deserves. In reply to the infidels question: “What has the Bible taught us?” this distinguished Prelate answers, “It is this ignorance of self, and the self-sufficiency which accompanies it, that create a perfect inaptitude to receive the truths of the Gospel. No one can heartily believe the Gospel, who is not predisposed to believe in a Saviour. To believe in a Saviour, he must entertain a deep sense of the depravity of sin; he must know his danger of not being saved; he must be convinced, that he cannot be saved by himself; he must
“ be

“ be conscious of his great want of a Saviour, and must be very anxious to secure
 “ his salvation: with these dispositions, he will readily close with the conditions
 “ offered him by the Gospel, the conditions of acceptance through faith in Christ.
 “ But how is he to obtain these predispositions? Not from nature, not from natural
 “ wisdom, nor the world’s philosophy. They are too apt to carry the mind in a
 “ very contrary direction; and to lead to self-honour, self-applause, and to any
 “ thing rather than to self-humiliation. ‘The natural man receiveth not the things
 “ of the spirit of God; neither can he know them, because they are spiritually
 “ discerned.’ How then is he to obtain these predispositions? From Revelation
 “ only, with the concurrence of God’s grace. ‘Faith cometh by hearing, and
 “ hearing by the word of God.’ From the Gospel alone will he form just views
 “ of human nature and himself; from the Gospel alone will he learn to raise to
 “ its proper height, the standard of religious and moral duty, and to lower the
 “ measure of human merit. But these dispositions being once derived from
 “ Scripture, and confirmed by a rational examination of Scripture evidences, and
 “ enlightened by the assistance of the Holy Spirit, he receives most cordially, and
 “ most thankfully, the great truths of the Gospel—‘Christ’s power to save,’ and
 “ the ‘sinner’s hopes of pardon.’ He now, for the first time, perceives, how
 “ ‘he that believeth in the Son of God, hath the witness in himself;’ and is ex-
 “ perimentally convinced, that an unbeliever’s doubts arise, not from defect of
 “ evidence, but from want of feeling.”

(D) *Hearer of the Gospel.*] The peculiar doctrines of the Gospel are all so in-
 timately connected together, that it seems almost impossible to treat of one with-
 out introducing the rest. For to inculcate the corruption of human nature, would
 be only to insult and add misery to the miserable, unless at the same time justi-
 fication by faith in the atonement of the Redeemer, be also maintained. But to
 maintain justification by faith in the atonement of the Redeemer, would tend to
 promote antinomian licentiousness, unless at the same time the necessity of rege-
 neration of heart, through the sanctifying influence of the Holy Spirit, be also in-
 fisted

fisted on. Therefore these three doctrines, human corruption, the atonement, and divine influence, must be considered as absolutely inseparable, and in every discourse addressed to a congregation of Christians, ought never to be lost sight of: since from a firm persuasion of their truth, arises every proper motive to Christian obedience. For example—in the instance of brotherly love, I would not recommend it on account of the fitness, or utility, or advantage, or beauty, or excellence of this virtue; but I would recommend it on a higher principle—a principle adopted by Saint John, by all the Apostles, and even by Christ himself—a principle which of all others is the most calculated to affect the heart, when once the mind is impressed with a sense of the true condition of human nature—I would recommend it on this principle: “If God so loved us, as to send his Son “to be the propitiation for our sins, we ought also to love one another.” But, alas! the Holy Scriptures inform us, that “the time will come when mankind “will not endure sound doctrine.” That this time is fast approaching, if not already arrived, daily experience too plainly proves. To every sincere Christian it is deeply distressing to observe, by what trivial causes the majority of the Clergy are induced to adapt their discourses to the vitiated taste of the present times, and to neglect the faithful and diligent explanation of these doctrines, although they are bound by the most weighty considerations—by the most solemn engagements—by the most sacred obligations to “preach the word—to be instant in season, “out of season—to reprove—to rebuke—to exhort, with all long-suffering and “doctrine.” It seems, however, as if many were either entirely ignorant of the word of God, or else were actually prevented from preaching it, by the idle terror of a nick-name—by the dread of acquiring the frightful appellation of a *Methodist*. When by this term was meant a schismatic—one, who in the morning attended the service of the church, and prayed to be delivered “from all false “doctrine, heresy, and *schism*,” and in the evening went to the conventicle, and deliberately committed that very sin of schism, which he had the same day been deprecating—then indeed the appellation of a Methodist might justly be accounted a term of reproach. But since, in the lapse of time, this word, like many others,

has

has changed its meaning—since it is now made use of to denote a man of piety—a man, who with the heart believeth unto righteousness—a man, who proves by his life that his faith is sincere—that it is such as “purifieth the heart”—such as “worketh by love”—such as “overcometh the world”—it has ceased to be a term of reproach, and when applied to any one who loves the doctrine, and something more, the discipline of the Church of England—and holds schism in equal abhorrence with the most enormous sin—the only meaning it can possibly be understood to convey (if it conveys any meaning at all) is, that such a person is what was formerly called a Christian. “If the doctrines of Original sin, of justification by faith, of the atonement and redemption by the death of Christ, of his mediation and intercession for us, of the influence of the Holy Ghost on the heart of the pious believer, of sanctification, of divine grace—be methodistical, then, besides the ancient fathers and writers of the Christian Church, must we rank among the Methodists the venerable names of Cranmer, and the promoters of the reformation; the framers of the Liturgy and the Articles; Jewell, and the other compilers of the Homilies; as also Hooker, Hammond, Hall, Sanderson, Pearson, Bull, Jeremy Taylor, Barrow, Scott, Smalridge, names impressed with the stamp of venerableness long before the title of Methodist was invented; and to come nearer to our own times—Wilson, Lavington, Secker, Townson, Horne, Hurd, Horsley, Knox.” See Hutton’s Appeal to the Nation on the subject of Mr. Gilbert Wakefield’s Letter to William Wilberforce, Esq. M. P. Having in the preceding quotation mentioned the name of Horsley, I can not forbear transcribing the sentiments of this eminent Prelate upon the present subject: “The great crime and folly of the Methodists consist not so much in heterodoxy, as in fanaticism; not in perverse doctrine, but rather in a disorderly zeal for the propagation of the truth.” See his invaluable Charge to the Clergy of the Diocese of Saint David’s.

(E) *Very far.*] In the Latin this idea is expressed by a much stronger term—“*quam longissime*” as far as possible.

(F) *Consequent*

(F) *Consequent punishment of our first parent.*] In treating of the doctrine of Original sin, we must be careful to remember that the corruption of human nature constitutes, not the whole, but only a part of the punishment inflicted on all mankind, in consequence of the fall of Adam; for, says Bishop Stillingfleet, in a Letter to Dr. Bartholomew upon this subject: “By the *contrary* supposition, *first*, you give “no account of the penalty undergone by those who are incapable of committing “actual sin. If Original sin be only the vitiating men’s natural powers, as you “express it, like the necessity of scribbling and scratching with a bad pen; then it “lies in a bare indisposition of the mind, or unsuitness for doing well; and consequently the sin can not be imputed till those powers are ill used, i. e. till actual “sin be committed. But how then come *infants* to lie under the penalties of it, “by diseases and death? *Secondly*, You give no account of the remission of Original sin in baptism; and I know a person of your learning can not but remember multitudes of passages to that purpose in the fathers. But what is it “that can be forgiven, if it lie *only* in such a natural corruption as you suppose? “Can baptism be supposed to remove the inward indisposition of the soul to good? “Then there would be no *homines peccati* in baptised persons: But if you suppose an “*infection* of Adam’s sin, though consequent to the corruption of nature, then “the remission of it is easy to be understood. But then this must suppose Adam “to have been a public person by *representation*, and not merely by nature.” See Stillingfleet’s Miscellaneous Discourses on several Occasions, page 355.

(G) *Made after the image of God.*] That the resemblance, which our first parent bore to his Creator was purely of a spiritual nature, is shewn more fully in a Sermon preached by the author at Willard, which is already before the Public.

(H) *In the present world.*] It appears to be only in the present world that God “will visit the sins of the fathers, upon the children, unto the third and fourth “generation of them that hate him;” since he hath himself declared, that “the “soul that sinneth, it shall die: the son shall not bear the iniquity of the father,

neither

G

neither

(L) *Over the whole human race.*] The author having in view not so much to examine the doctrine of original sin in all its bearings and dependencies, as simply to state and to establish the doctrine itself abstractedly considered, for the purpose of deducing from thence such arguments as are most eminently calculated to promote the more immediate object of the preceding discourse, has therefore studiously avoided entering upon the objections usually made by such as lean more to the strength of their own natural understanding, than to the declarations of divine revelation. He cannot, however, forbear asking those, who with a spirit truly pharisaical, thank God that they are not as other men are, who it is that hath made them to differ, and by what means this apparent difference has been effected?—Is it from any natural goodness of heart—is it not rather through the gracious providence of God, that they have hitherto been preserved from gross enormities and flagitious crimes?—Is it from any natural greatness of mind—is it not rather from the beneficial influence of education, that they have acquired a superinduced disinclination to some particular offences, and an abhorrence to some particular sins, a proneness to which they falsely flatter themselves is contrary to their nature?—Is it from any natural superiority to the generality of mankind—is it from any innate love of virtue—is it not rather from an acquired sense of decency—is it not rather from a selfish regard to reputation, that they have been preserved from transgressing the rules of decorum, and from violating the laws of propriety?—Is it from any natural love of God—is it from any sincere zeal for the promotion of his glory—is it not rather from a love of self—is it not rather from a desire to preserve the good opinion of the world, that they are prevailed upon to pay a public and specious observance to the laws of their country—laws which they do not however scruple to transgress, whenever they can with secrecy and impunity?—Is it from any natural disposition to oppose example, to resist passion, to struggle with temptation—is it not rather from the providential absence of temptation, and other external causes, that they owe their preservation from sins of the deepest dye? Hazael, when forewarned by Elisha of the evil, which he afterwards committed against the children of Israel, being at the time devoid

devoid of any power, unsolicited by any temptation, uninfluenced by any ambitious desire—Hazeal was shocked at the idea of so cruel a measure—he could not endure the thought—his heart recoiled within him—and in a strain of honest indignation, he exclaims to the prophet: “What!—Is thy servant a dog that he “should do this great thing?” Such was Hazeal’s sentiment—It came from his heart—But, alas! how deceitful is the heart!—For, at a future period, and placed in different circumstances—possessed of power—tempted by the prospect of conquest—incited by the lust of dominion—Hazeal displayed the natural depravity of the human heart, and readily yielded to those evil propensities which he had once imagined were contrary to his nature: since it is recorded that he “oppressed “Israel all the days of Jehoahaz, and smote them in all the coasts of Israel.”

The preceding remarks are particularly applicable to that numerous class of nominal Christians, whose lives appear to be decent and moral, while their hearts remain corrupt and unregenerate. Should it here be asked how is this discrimination to be made?—Who can know the heart, but God only?—I answer in the language of Scripture that “the word of God is a discernor of the thoughts and “intents of the heart”—that “ye shall know men by their fruits”—and that “the “fruits of the spirit” are equally *manifest* with “the fruits of the flesh.” Therefore, by comparing a man’s conduct with the word of God, and by examining whether his life displays the fruits of the Spirit of God, we may safely determine, whether he be a sincere, or only a nominal Christian—whether regeneration be already begun in his heart, or whether he be not yet in a state of grace, whether “he does all his works for to be seen of men,” or whether he “seeketh the “honour that cometh from God only.” Thus may we with tolerable certainty judge of another man’s heart, without meriting the imputation of uncharitableness. Uncharitableness!—It is high time to have done with the senseless cant of charity, which insults the understandings, and trifles with the feelings of those who are really concerned for the happiness of their fellow creatures. Charity, indeed, is partial to the object of her regard, and where actions are of a doubtful nature, this partiality induces her to refer them to a good, rather than to a bad motive.

motive. But although she is inclined to hope all things and to believe all things, whenever there exists any ground for hope or for belief, yet she cannot possibly hope or believe any thing which is contrary both to reason and to revelation—she cannot hope or believe that the tree is good, when she sees and knows that the fruit is evil. For the true Christian grace of charity is a very different principle from that which the world calls charity. It is a principle which disposes us to be vigilant—fervent—full of solicitude—full of good offices—not so easily satisfied—not so ready to believe that every thing is going on well as a matter of course—but apprehensive of mischief—apt to suspect danger—and prompt to extend relief. That wretched quality, by which the sacred name of charity is now so generally and so falsely usurped, is no other than *downright indifference*, which against the plainest evidence, or at least where there is strong ground of apprehension, is easily contented to believe that all is well, because it has no anxieties to allay, no fears to repress. Such is the contrast between the charity practised by the world, and that which is enjoined by the religion of Jesus Christ. By the former a nominal Christian is actuated—from the latter a sincere Christian would never intentionally depart; but is induced by this motive to sound the alarm to those, whose souls he judges to be in a state of danger, because their lives do, in few respects, correspond with the unerring precepts of the word of God.

(M) *The majority of mankind were wicked.*] Οἱ πλείους κακοί. *Bias.*

(N) *Desperation.*] It is remarkable that desperation is the very effect attributed in our seventeenth Article to the reception of *absolute* and *unconditional* predestination, by curious and carnal persons: whence it is obvious that this article, however it may have been misrepresented, is so far from maintaining that doctrine, that it does in reality censure it in the strongest terms, declaring it to be a most dangerous downfall. Still it very properly does not reject the doctrine of conditional personal election, because this doctrine not only results necessarily from that of God's foreknowledge, but also is clearly revealed in scripture, where the
term

term *elect* seems to be used in three different and distinct senses. It is applied, first, in a general sense to those *nations* who embrace the gospel.

Secondly, with a more limited meaning to those *individuals* who continue faithful unto death, and who shall therefore receive a crown of life.

Lastly, in a more particular signification, to those persons, "to whom (above others) more "abundant measures of grace were purposed to be vouchsafed "before the foundation of the world, when (*they being not yet born, and having "done neither good nor evil*) the designation was *not of works, but of him that "calleth.*" See Ridley's Sermons on the Holy Ghost.

"Some I have chosen of *peculiar grace*

"Elect above the rest.

Milton.

(O) *Do spring out necessarily.*] Having produced this article of our church, I cannot forbear expressing my honest indignation, at the unprovoked and unwarrantable attacks recently made upon two living writers of the first eminence in this kingdom, Mr. Wilberforce and Mrs. Hannah More, both of whom have ably maintained this essential doctrine. The only knowledge I have of their common opponent is from his writings, and although I sincerely wish that Mr. Daubeny's opinions, in regard to Church-government, were universally entertained—although I have not the smallest objection to follow Mr. D. as a guide to the *church*, yet I can by no means agree to accompany him into the *pulpit*. By repeatedly aiming his *telum imbelle* at the same mark, this Author seems determined, if possible, to wound Christianity in her vitals: for (to change the metaphor) while he protects the *outworks* of religion, he destroys religion *itself*—while he defends the ramparts against the approach of the enemy, he himself sets fire to the town, and yet hopes to preserve the arsenal. Having neither any personal acquaintance with this gentleman, nor any knowledge of his peculiar habits, it is utterly impossible for me to say, whether or not, like Homer, he now and then indulges himself in taking a nod in his study, and at such seasons is apt to talk in his sleep;—but of one point I am certain, that I shall not hazard much

much in declaring my decided opinion, that at the time when Mr. D. wrote his letter to Mrs. H. More, he either could not be perfectly awake, or else, was not altogether *compos mentis*, for certainly no man in his senses would commit himself to such a degree, as to make the following assertion "The Church of England teaches the same doctrine. She no where, that I know of, describes faith, abstractedly taken, as "never existing without producing the evangelical fruits of "holiness;" "nor does she any where represent the duties of Christianity as the "necessary production of its Doctrines." *Letter to Mrs. H. More, Page 40.* Pray, did Mr. Daubeny ever read the twelfth article of the Church of England?—Does he recollect that in it, the Church of England teaches, that "good works "do spring out necessarily of a true and lively faith?" And let me put another question—When Mr. D. was formally matriculated at the University—when he was duly admitted to the respective orders of Deacon and Priest—when he was canonically instituted to the Living of Christ's Church, in Bath—Pray did he obtain a dispensation for subscribing the thirty-nine Articles?—Or, if he really did subscribe them, was he not all the while laughing in his sleeve? For is it possible that Mr. D. could ever sincerely, and *ex animo* have given his assent and consent to a doctrine which he now so zealously opposes? Even his own parish-clerk, should he, in fumbling over the Church-prayer-book, chance to light upon this twelfth article, would be enabled to confute Mr. D. *totidem verbis, totidem syllabis, totidem literis.* If Mr. D's letter deserved a serious answer, it would not be difficult to detect the fallacy of his reasoning, and to shew that the grounds of his attack are as unjust, as the doctrine he maintains is unsound, which has already been proved. For he produces a passage from Mrs. H. More's *Strictures*, containing a sentiment which she advances for the express purpose of confuting it, and this very sentiment Mr. D. is pleased to represent as her own. In order to make good his assertion, he omits a comma in one part of the quotation, and inserts it in another—he prints a word in italics, which, in the original is not so printed—and thus leads an incautious reader to misunderstand the Author's meaning. The limits of these remarks will not permit me to enter into particulars, and

to

to point out every instance of unfairness in the conduct of this extraordinary controversialist: suffice it to say, that a misstatement of the argument, and an intentional change in the punctuation and transcription of a passage, are ample reasons to justify the charge of unfairness. Having mentioned Mrs. H. More's *Strictures on female education*, I cannot deny myself the pleasure of transcribing the justly-merited Eulogium passed on this incomparable work by the Bishop of London, in a note subjoined to his late excellent Charge to his Clergy: "The spirit of religion excited at the time by the impressive admonitions of Mr. Wilberforce, Mr. Bowdler, Mr. King, and many other pious and able writers, was certainly very considerable. And to these it would now be injustice not to add the name of another highly-approved author, Mrs. Hannah More; whose extraordinary and versatile talents can equally accommodate themselves to the cottage and the palace: who, while she is diffusing among the lower orders of the people an infinity of little religious tracts, calculated to reform and comfort them in this world, and to save them in the next, is at the same time applying all the powers of a vigorous and highly cultivated mind, to the instruction, improvement, and delight of the most exalted of her own sex. I allude more particularly to her last work, on female education, which presents to the reader such a fund of good sense, of wholesome counsel, of sagacious observation, of a knowledge of the world and of the female heart, of heightened morality and genuine Christian piety; and all this enlivened with such brilliancy of wit, such richness of imagery, such variety and felicity of allusion, such neatness and elegance of diction, as are not, I conceive, easily to be found, so combined and blended together, in any other work in the English language.

"Of the above-mentioned little tracts no less than two millions were sold in the first year; and they contributed, I am persuaded, very essentially to counteract the poison of those impious and immoral pamphlets, which, as I have already stated, were dispersed over the kingdom in such numbers by societies of infidels and republicans."

(P) *The gift of the Holy Ghost.*] The ordinary operation of the Holy Ghost is ably defended and beautifully illustrated by Dr. Knox, in his Christian Philosophy, a work in which are collected the opinions of many of the most judicious divines upon this subject. But for a fuller investigation of the doctrine, the reader is referred to Gloucester Ridley's Eight Sermons on the Divinity and Operations of the Holy Ghost, a volume so excellent, but unfortunately grown so scarce, that the author of these remarks is induced to propose republishing it, if he can procure a sufficient number of Subscribers.

(Q) *Regeneration.*] If a thorough knowledge of the human heart, and an intimate acquaintance with the sacred volume, be any recommendation to an Author, I know no one who can lay greater claim to this recommendation than Dr. Witherpoon, whose treatise on regeneration should be in the hands of every Christian. With some allowance for Scotch idioms and Scotch prejudices, I would likewise recommend his two small volumes of Sermons, which seem to come nearer to the heart than almost any Sermons I have yet read.

(R) *Spiritual fountain.*] "*Faith is the gift of God.* To the Giver only it belongs to prescribe the means of obtaining his bounty. He has prescribed the written word and prayer. *Faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the Word of God.* But the whole tenour of the gospel proves, that the written word has not efficacy of itself, to convince our understandings, nor reform our hearts; to produce either faith in God, or repentance from dead works, without the aid of the Holy Ghost. Now the aid of the Holy Ghost is promised to prayer: If ye, says our Saviour, *being evil, know how to give good gifts unto your children, how much more shall God give the Holy Spirit to them that ask him.*" Knox's Christian Philosophy.

(S) *Book now seldom perused.*] "Our ecclesiastical writers inform us, that the scriptures, when the translation of them into our language, was first permitted
" to

“ to be read in this kingdom, were read by persons in all situations of life, with
 “ a seriousness and a diligence, that were suitable to their importance.”—“ I ap-
 “ peal to the impartial judgment of every one, who is competent to judge, whe-
 “ ther this general reverent regard to the scriptures, and diligence in the study of
 “ them, hath not been greatly diminished among us; and whether, if it hath,
 “ such a change can have taken place without having produced correspondent
 “ effects upon our faith and our manners.” *Savvins's Fast Sermon, preached at
 Oxford, in 1799.*

That very learned and able man, Sir William Jones, whose attainments place
 him upon the highest rank of intellectual eminence, after possessing himself of all
 that the sages and philosophers of all times have said and thought upon the works
 of nature, wrote the following note at the end of his bible: “ I have attentively
 “ and regularly read these Holy Scriptures, and am of opinion that this volume,
 “ independently of its divine origin, contains more true sublimity, more pure
 “ morality, more important history, and finer strains both of poetry and elo-
 “ quence, than can be collected from all other books, in whatever age or lan-
 “ guage they may have been composed. The two parts of which the scriptures
 “ consist, are connected by a chain of compositions, which bear no resemblance
 “ in form or style to any that can be produced from the stores of Grecian, Per-
 “ sian, or even Arabian learning: the antiquity of these compositions no man
 “ can doubt, and the unrestrained application of them to events long subsequent to
 “ their publication, is a solid ground of belief that they are genuine productions,
 “ and consequently inspired.”

(T) *Empty Churches.*] While the Churches are daily growing more and more
 empty, the Conventicles are growing more and more crowded. *Quære*, is there
 not a fault some where? “ I am much mistaken,” says Bishop Horsley, “ if a
 “ proper diligence on our own parts, to inculcate these doctrines, which are in-
 “ deed the very basis of the Christian system, which the Philosophizers of the
 “ present times explain away, and the illiterate enthusiast, by the meanness of

“ his style, and the absurdity of his illustrations, too often burlesques; I am mis-
 “ taken, if a proper diligence, on our part, to inculcate these doctrines, would
 “ not soon supersede the necessity of all controversy. Truth, deeply planted in
 “ the public mind, would keep possession by its own native strength; the com-
 “ mon people, made proficient in the faith, however in other respects illiterate,
 “ and accustomed to the doctrine originally delivered to the Saints, would turn
 “ with horror from every thing of a contrary sound. Nourished with the sincere
 “ milk of the word, by their proper pastors, they would refuse a drink of doubt-
 “ ful quality, mingled by a stranger. In a word, *our churches would be thronged*;
 “ while the Moralizing Unitarian would be left to read his dull weekly lecture to
 “ the walls of his deserted conventicle; and the Field-Preacher would bellow un-
 “ regarded to the wilderness.”

(U) *The novel-reading—the sober-minded—the innocent daughters of dissipation.]*
 Of all the means which have been invented to poison the minds of the higher and
 middle classes of society, none have proved more successful than *novels*. Novels
 are for the most part calculated, not only to disqualify the reader for the enjoy-
 ments of another world, but absolutely to unfit him for living comfortably and
 contentedly in this. They paint life in false colours—they describe mankind as
 divided into two classes of beings, *angels* and *devils*—under the mask of *sentimental*
affection, they excite filthy images in the mind—with the pretence of instilling
exquisite sensibility, they in reality stimulate ungoverned passion—under the colour
 of inculcating *extreme sympathy*, they in effect promote a want of resignation to
 the divine will.—In short, novels are only fit to be perused in that eccentric place,
 called by Milton, *the limbo of vanity—the Paradise of fools*. And yet the Ladies
 read them—yes, they will read them, because they love them—and the more these
 sober-minded daughters are amused with stories of *murder* and *adultery*, commonly
 called *duelling* and *gallantry*, the more they are pleased. With minds so sensu-
 alized—with hearts so corrupted—with tastes so vitiated—need we be at all
 astonished to find them rejecting the pleasures of intellect, and eagerly pursuing
 the

the pleasures of sense—dissatisfied with domestic enjoyments, and running about in quest of frivolous and vain amusements—addicted to every species of dissipation which their circumstances can afford—and sometimes even compassing their object at the expence of *honesty* and *honor*. This general prevalence of dissipation among the female sex, is a subject which must afford painful reflection to every serious mind, and which has therefore, of late years, called forth the just animadversions of some of the most eminent writers of the age. Of this description are Mr. Gisborne's Duties of the Female Sex, and another publication already mentioned, Mrs. H. More's Strictures on Female education—works which ought to be not only read, but studied, by every Mother of a family, who has at heart the welfare of her female offspring—works, both of which, and particularly the latter of them, are eminently calculated to produce the most beneficial effects to the rising generation. Should these excellent publications be perused with that attention they deserve, we may yet hope that novels will grow into *disrepute*, and that the Scriptures will again be deemed *interesting*—those Scriptures which cannot now be read with pleasure by the fashionable part of the female sex, because they *directly condemn* their whole conduct in every point of view. In confirmation of this remark, I might produce innumerable proofs from the Bible, but at present will only request the reader to compare the manners and dress of the Jewish women, as described by the Prophet Isaiah, with the account which is given of the ladies of our own times by Mrs. H. More. The Prophet's words are: “ Because
 “ the daughters of Zion are haughty, and walk with stretched-forth necks, and
 “ wanton eyes, walking, and mincing as they go, and making a tinkling with
 “ their feet: Therefore the Lord will smite with a scab the crown of the head of
 “ the daughter of Zion, and the Lord will discover their secret parts. In that
 “ day the Lord will take away the bravery of their tinkling ornaments about their
 “ feet, and their cauls, and their round tires like the moon, the chains, and the
 “ bracelets, and the mufflers, the bonnets, and the ornaments of the legs, and
 “ head-bands, and the tablets, and the ear-rings, the rings, and nose-jewels, the
 “ changeable suits of apparel, and the mantles, and the wimples, and the crisp-
 “ ing-pins,

“ ing-pins, the glasses, and the fine linen, the hoods, and the veils. And it shall
 “ come to pass, that instead of sweet smell, there shall be stink ; and instead of a
 “ girdle, a rent ; and instead of well set hair, baldness : and instead of a stomacher,
 “ a girding of sackcloth ; and burning, instead of beauty.” Such are the words
 of one who *spoke as he was moved by the Holy Ghost*. Let us now hear what Mrs.
 H. More says of her own countrywomen : “ To attract admiration is the great
 “ principle sedulously inculcated into her young heart ; and is considered as the
 “ fundamental maxim ; and perhaps, if we were required to condense the reign-
 “ ing system of the brilliant education of a lady into an aphorism, it might be
 “ comprised in this short sentence, *to allure and to shine.*” And again, speaking
 of the dangers arising from an excessive cultivation of the arts, she asks : “ May
 “ we not rank among their present corrupt consequences, the unchaste *costume*,
 “ the impure style of dress, and that indelicate statue-like exhibition of the female
 “ figure, which by its artfully-disposed folds, its wet and adhesive drapery, so de-
 “ fines the form as to prevent covering itself from becoming a veil ? This licen-
 “ tious mode, as the acute Montesquieu observed on the dances of the Spartan
 “ virgins, has taught us *to strip chastity itself of modesty.*” And now let me ask, is
 this to *adorn themselves in modest apparel, with shamefacedness and sobriety ; not with*
broidered hair, or gold, or pearls, or costly array ?—Is this to adorn themselves, not
with that outward adorning, of plaiting the hair, and of wearing of gold, or of putting
on of apparel : but with the ornament of a meek and quiet spirit, which is, in the sight
of God, of great price ?—Is this to give none occasion to the adversary to speak re-
proachfully ?—Mistake me not—I am not your adversary—Esteem me not your
 enemy, because I tell you the truth.—Were I not to tell you the truth, I should
 indeed be your enemy : but in these degenerate days, if the Ministers of Christ
 were to hold their peace, would there not be just cause to complain that, “ The
 “ watchmen are blind : they are all ignorant, they are all dumb dogs, which
 “ cannot bark ; sleeping, lying down, loving to slumber : yea that they are greedy
 “ dogs, which can never have enough, they are shepherds that cannot under-
 “ stand : they all look to their own way, every one for his gain from his
 “ quarter ?”

“quarter?” A Clergyman therefore does not go beyond his province, but is faithfully exercising the duties of his function, when he maintains that dissipation in the one sex is equally sinful and offensive in the sight of God, as licentiousness in the other. It draws off the affections from things above—It incapacitates the mind from the duties of devotion—It disqualifies the heart for communion with God—It effectually destroys, what Bishop Horley beautifully denominates, “the mysterious commerce of the believer’s soul with the Divine Spirit.” For let the reader picture to himself a modish Damsel returned from some crowded temple of dissipation, and fallen upon her knees to repeat *pro forma*, not her *vespers*, but her *mattins*. Flushed with the hopes of having made a *conquest* at the Ball—or elevated at an *accession of pin-money*, skilfully acquired at the card-table—or languishing from the lasting impression of some “*amorous ditty*” at the Concert—or transported with the remembrance of some *melting scene* in the tragi-comic Farce—and all the while saying her prayers, because she happens to be, in the *geographical* sense of the word, a Christian.—Say, reader, does she offer *incense*—or rather does she not offer *insult*, to that Omniscient Being, unto whom all hearts be open, all desires known, and from whom no secrets are hid? Is it a breach, not of *modern*, but of *scriptural* charity, to fear that, as she draws near unto God with her lips, while her heart is far from him, her very prayer will be turned into sin?—Can she be said to “redeem the time”—to “overcome the world”—to “have her conversation in heaven”—and not to “follow a multitude to do evil?”—Can she be said to “walk by faith, and not by sight”—to have her “affections set on things above, and not on things on the earth?”—precepts, which might all be strictly observed, without taking the veil—without extinguishing a single particle of cheerfulness, vivacity, spirit, good humour, or any one quality, which conduces to render a woman agreeable, amiable, and desirable as a help meet for man. Of such genteel and fashionable females it may with the utmost veracity be affirmed, that they are not Christians but Infidels, resembling the antediluvian ladies, as described by Milton:

" A bevy of fair women, richly gay
 " In gems and wanton drefs; to th' harp they *ſing*
 " Soft amorous ditties, and in dance *come on* :
 — " A fair female troop —
 " Of Goddeſſes ſo blithe, ſo ſmooth, ſo gay,
 " Yet empty of all good wherein conſiſts
 " Woman's domeſtic honour and chief praiſe ;
 " Bred only and completed to the taſte
 " Of luſtful appetite, to ſing, to dance,
 " To drefs, and troll the tongue, and roll the eye.
 — " Fair atheiſts, *who* now ſwim in joy,
 " Erelong to ſwim at large."

From the contemplation of ſo diſgufting a picture of feminine depravity, let us turn our eyes to a ſcene of a more agreeable nature—a ſcene which the excellent writer did once deſcribe from what he had learnt by theory alone, but of the truth of which deſcription he has ſince been perfectly convinced by experience. " Doubtleſs," ſays Mr. Wilberforce, " this more favourable diſpoſition to Religion in the female ſex, was graciouſly deſigned alſo to make women doubly valuable in the wedded ſtate : and it ſeems to afford to the married man the means " of rendering an active ſhare in the buſineſs of life more compatible, than it " would otherwiſe be, with the liveliſt devotional feelings ; that when the husband ſhould return to his family, worn and haraſſed by worldly cares or profeſſional labours, the wife, habitually preſerving a warmer and more unimpaired " ſpirit of devotion, than is perhaps conſiſtent with being immerſed in the buſtle " of life, might revive his languid piety ; and that the religious impreſſions of both " might derive new force and tenderneſs from the animating ſympathies of conjugal affection. Can a more pleaſing image be preſented to a conſiderate mind, " than that of a couple, happy in each other and in the pledges of their mutual " love, uniting in an act of grateful adoration to the author of all their mercies ; " recommending each other, and the objects of their common care, to the divine " protection ; and reſſing the ſolicitude of conjugal and parental tenderneſs by

" a

"a confiding hope, that, through all the changes of this uncertain life, the Disposer of all things will assuredly cause all to work together for the good of them that love and put their trust in him; and that, after this uncertain state shall have passed away, they shall be admitted to a joint participation of never ending happiness. It is surely no mean or ignoble office which we would allot to the female sex, when we would thus commit to them the charge of maintaining in lively exercise whatever emotions most dignify and adorn human nature; when we would make them as it were the medium of our intercourse with the heavenly world, the faithful repositories of the religious principle, for the benefit both of the present and of the rising generation." See page 434 of A Practical View of the prevailing Religious System of professed Christians, in the higher and middle Classes in this Country, contrasted with real Christianity, by Mr. Wilberforce, who (to adopt the language of the British Critic) in practical, or what Dr. Johnson calls hortatory theology, has not been exceeded by the ablest of his predecessors. With such a view of female worth and female usefulness—a view, which, though rare, is not chimerical—that man must have a cold heart, who is not ready to exclaim with our immortal poet:

" Hail wedded Love, mysterious law, true source
 " Of human offspring, sole propriety
 " In Paradise of all things common else.
 " By thee adult'rous lust was driv'n from men
 " Among the bestial herds to range; by thee
 " Founded in reason, loyal, just and pure,
 " Relations dear, and all the charities
 " Of father, son, and brother first were known.
 " Far be it, that I should write thee sin or blame,
 " Or think thee unbefitting holiest place,
 " Perpetual fountain of domestic sweets,
 " Whose bed is undefil'd and chaste pronounc'd,
 " Present, or past, as saints and patriarchs us'd.
 " Here love his golden shafts employs, here lights
 " His constant lamp, and waves his purple wings,

I

" Reigns

" Reigns here and revels ; not in the bought smile
 " Of harlots, loveless, joyless, unendear'd,
 " Casual fruition ; nor in court amours,
 " Mix'd dance, or wanton mask, or midnight ball,
 " Or ferenade, which the starv'd lover sings
 " To his proud fair, best quitted with disdain."

Milton's Paradise Lost, Book iv. Verse 750.

I will make but one observation more—an observation which perhaps may possess more weight than any argument I have yet produced. Attend ye misses—misguided misses—ye misunderstand the matter—ye mistake the mark—and miss your aim.—Listen to what I now say, and act accordingly.—If women were such as they should be, and such as they might be, they would then become objects of men's *love* and *esteem*, while at present they are (*generally speaking*) objects only of their *lust* and of their *avarice*.—If women would but qualify themselves to make men happy, I believe I know enough of the sentiments and wishes of my own sex, to be enabled to say with tolerable certainty, that in that case (*with the exception of Bachelors of Arts, Bachelors of Law, Bachelors of Divinity, Bachelors of Physic, and Bachelors of Music*) there would scarcely be found a single Bachelor throughout the whole kingdom. For Bachelors are not as great fools as you take them to be—they are well aware that, in a state of celibacy, life loses, upon a moderate computation, one half of its comforts—at the same time they are not so imprudent as to expect that these comforts can be enjoyed in the society of a modern fine Lady. By fine Ladies, I do not mean such as flutter about the precincts of Court, but such as swarm in every trading city, and in every market town—such, in short, as pride themselves with the notion of being *genteel*; because, forsooth, like Jezebel, they paint their faces—wear curled wigs—bedizen themselves—bedeck themselves with waving feathers—with *frenchified* red bonnets—flaming scarlet neckerchiefs—black veils—long muslin trains—short waists—variegated sandels—dangling ear-rings—pearl necklaces—velvet bracelets—and all the other indelicate ornaments and meretricious furbelows of fashionable female attire.

Having

Having spoken disrespectfully of fashionable amusements, the author may reasonably expect to raise a hornet's nest about his ears: for, "*a snug and friendly game at cards*" is that "*slice of the hog*" which nobody will give up.

"Renounce the world—the preacher cries.

"We do—a multitude replies.

"While one as innocent regards

"A snug and friendly game at cards;

"And one, whatever you may say,

"Can see no evil in a play;

"Some love a concert, or a race;

"And others—shooting, and the chase.

"Revil'd and lov'd, renounc'd and follow'd,

"Thus, bit by bit, the world is swallow'd;

"Each thinks his neighbour makes too free,

"Yet likes a slice as well as he;

"With sophistry their sauce they sweeten,

"Till quite from tail to snout 'tis eaten."

See Cowper's Love of the World reprov'd, or Hypocrisy detected.

That I may not indulge myself in garrulity, and spin out this enormous note to a still greater length, I shall refer the reader for my sentiments upon the subject of each fashionable amusement, to a very entertaining little volume, not long since published, entitled "*Three Dialogues on the Amusements of Clergymen*," said to be the substance of a conversation which once passed between Bishop Stillingfleet and a Mr. Frampton.

(W) *Sponsors.*] The Canons of our Church prohibit a person from becoming a sponsor, until he has received the sacrament: whatever offence, therefore, a Clergyman may give to *fastidious gossips*, it is his duty to interrogate them upon this head, and not to take it for granted, that they are so qualified.

(X) *Confirmation.*] Since Arian and Socinian tenets have become so prevalent, and the doctrine of divine influence has grown into disrepute, the *means* of grace

have consequently been little attended to—the ordinances of religion have been disregarded—and the Ministers of the Church have been treated with contempt. There is, however, no rite which has been more shamefully neglected, than that of Confirmation:—with pain be it spoken, this rite is now very generally accounted, a mere form—an idle ceremony—an old-fashioned, ridiculous custom—which may be either complied with or dispensed with, just as caprice shall dictate. If this notion were well founded, why did the compilers of our Liturgy direct, that “there shall none be admitted to the Holy Communion, until such time as he be confirmed, or be ready and desirous to be confirmed?” But even the Holy Communion itself is now considered barely as a commemorative rite, and not as a means of obtaining spiritual communion with God—not as a “feeding on Christ in our hearts by faith”—not as “the strengthening and refreshing of our souls by the body and blood of Christ.” Indeed it is not to be wondered at, that the efficacy of these ordinances is not admitted, when the Ministers of Christ are considered as impostors, and the divine origin of their authority is either disputed or denied. On the important subject of Church-government, however, I can not at present enter, without exceeding the limits of a single note; but I hope, should the great Disposer of all events be pleased to bless me with a continuance of life and health, to make my sentiments known upon this, as well as upon each of the most distinguishing doctrines of the Christian religion, in a course of Sermons which I am at present preparing to be preached at the Mayor’s Chapel in Exeter, and which I design for publication, as soon as they are all delivered. In the mean time, I would earnestly recommend a short Tract, which was printed at Gloucester, in the year 1787, under the title of “An Essay on the Church,” and which has since been republished in that admirable publication, called “The Scholar armed against the Errors of the Time, or a Collection of Tracts on the Principles and Evidences of Christianity, the Constitution of the Church, and the Authority of Civil Government.” By an attentive perusal of this Tract, every unprejudiced mind may be convinced of the truth of the following declaration in the preface to our Ordination Service: “It is evident unto all men diligently reading Holy
“Scripture

" Scripture and ancient authors, that from the Apostles' time there have been
 " these orders of Minister's in Christ's Church ; Bishops, Priests, and Deacons.
 " Which offices were evermore held in such reverend estimation, that no man
 " might presume to execute any of them, except he were first called, tried, exa-
 " mined, and known to have such qualities as are requisite for the same ; and also
 " by public prayer, with imposition of hands, were approved and admitted there-
 " unto by lawful authority." Such is the doctrine of the Church of England—
 Let then the self-appointed teachers, who boast that they maintain the doctrines
 of this Church in their utmost purity, make good their assertion, if they can. If
 not, we must necessarily conclude, that they are " unruly, and vain talkers and
 " deceivers: whose mouths must be stopped, who subvert whole houses, teaching
 " things which they ought not, for filthy lucre's sake." For (as the Bishop of
 Exeter justly observes; in his late Charge to the Clergy) " as to those, who,
 " without any authorised call or legal sanction, obtrude themselves into other
 " person's Cures, I conceive they neither act according to the principles of our
 " Church Establishment, nor of Christianity itself. Whatever they may profess,
 " and whatever zeal they may pretend for that Church of which they are called
 " Members, and the emoluments of which they are ready to receive, they are in
 " fact, Dissenters ; not such as conscientiously differing in points of doctrine or
 " worship, can still live among us in the principles of Christian love and charity ;
 " but Dissenters of the worst kind : enemies in the guise of friends ; drawing off
 " the congregation from those to whom they ought to listen, and promoting fac-
 " tion and schism where peace and harmony ought to prevail.

" Where these men violate the laws of their country by such intrusion, they
 " ought to be resisted, and taught to respect them ; but this resistance may still
 " be made without seeking to gratify private resentment, or departing from the
 " spirit of peace."

I cannot conclude this note without expressing the satisfaction I feel at being
 enabled to announce, from the first authority, that the Minister has promised to
 bring a Bill into Parliament for restricting at least, if not for entirely prohibiting,
 what

what is now become a grand national evil, the preaching of ignorant and illiterate *schismatics*; who have for some years past been spreading the utmost mischief throughout the nation, by vilifying the authority of the regular Clergy, and by exciting disaffection towards that pure and apostolical branch of Christ's church established in this kingdom.

(Y) *Infidelity.*] Practical infidelity is at this day far more prevalent than some are willing to allow. To that source may easily be traced the erroneous idea here combated, which in fact is founded upon the same principle as the old Popish doctrine of indulgences and works of supererogation. People seem to fancy, that they can keep a sort of Debtor and Creditor Account with their Maker, and that they can purchase so many sinful gratifications for an equal number of meritorious actions, so that if upon summing up the reckoning, there should, in their own opinion, prove to be a balance in their favour, they will go and boldly claim, as their just due, that reward, which, if they believed the Gospel, they would know, is not of works, but of grace, for "after we have done all those things which are commanded us, we are but unprofitable servants—we have only done that which was our duty to do."

(Z) *Horrible conceit.*] The absurdity of this conceit, concerning the effect of charity, is so evident upon the slightest consideration, that it might almost be imagined that no man of sense, understanding, and discernment, could for a moment entertain it. And yet the late Lord Orford, who was never thought to be deficient in sense, understanding, or discernment, introduces this very sentiment into one of his letters to Mrs. H. More.

(AA) *Commentators.*] Pole, Mills, Leighton, Hammond, Burkitt, Hardy, &c.

(BB) For a pathetic, a beautiful, and an animated description of the misery which naturally arises from prostitution, no pen can easily excel that of Mr. Richards; whose *Matilda, or the Dying Penitent*, is truly admirable. It is, however,

however, remarkable, that so disgusting is the representation of this misery to those who promote it, that it has been generally insinuated, that this poem is very inferior to the former productions of this elegant writer. I leave to learned Critics to determine this point in regard to the comparative merit of the language;—to me it appears chaste, nervous, and energetic:—but of the sentiments, I must declare my decided opinion, that they do honour to the Author's heart, and from his heart they evidently flow. May I be permitted to express my regret that this Poet has never since resumed his song.

(CC) *Public authority.*] The increase of prostitution, in this kingdom, has of late years been so rapid, that it is now become a subject which certainly demands the attention of the legislature; that the execution of those excellent laws, which, though still in existence, are suffered to lie dormant, may be enforced under severe penalties. For with the Poet, we may justly ask: "*Quid leges sine moribus vanae proficiant?*" But in regard to negligence in enforcing the laws, there is no class of persons, whose conduct is more censurable than that of the established Clergy:—they, whose office it is to "watch over the souls of their flock, as they that must give an account:"—they whose duty it is "constantly to speak the truth—boldly to rebuke vice—and patiently to suffer for the truth's sake:"—they who may with reason blush to hear a heathen boast that he was "*Virtutis verae custos, rigidusque satelles.*" I allude to the general neglect of inflicting the church-discipline of penance, The Clergy ought to recollect, and if they do not recollect, they must be reminded that every Church-warden, at his admission into office, solemnly swears, that he will present every thing which he knows is presentable by the laws of the Realm—that a paper is usually delivered to him, specifying every particular concerning what things are presentable—that among these whoredom is pointed out—that at the expiration of his office he delivers in what is termed a presentment, testifying that he has faithfully executed the duties of his office—that moreover, to the truth of this certificate the Minister of the Parish is by law required to subscribe:—let me then ask by what jesuitical sophistry

fopiftry can it be proved, that the greater part of thofe who execute this office are not *perjured* perfons? Nay, let me ask fomething more—are not the Clergy acceffary to their crime? No.—In this *liberal* age, oaths and fubfcriptions have ceafed to be binding; and although a man is in the habit of tranfgreffing literally every commandment of the decalogue, it is uncharitable to conclude that he is an immoral man, and not in the certain road to heaven.—*Heu pietas! Heu prifca fides!*

“Ætas parentum pejor avis tulit

“Nos nequiores, mox daturos

“Progeniem vitiofiorem.”

Hor.

Of the frivolous and unfounded objections ufually made to the infliction of penance, I cannot tranfgrefs upon the reader's patience by taking notice: fuffice it to fay, that there is none which would not obtain with equal force againft penal laws in general. But the ftrongeft argument to be advanced in fupport of the propriety of this wholefome difcipline, arifes from an appeal to facts. For I am warranted in afferting, that wherever of late years a Clergyman has had the *courage* (and this requires a much larger fhare of courage than would have been difplayed by putting on a *red coat*) to exert his influence by prevailing upon the Church-wardens to perform their bounden duty, the moft beneficial effects have uniformly been experienced. In a very large and populous parifh in the Diocefe of Exeter, where, upon an average of feveral years, eight or ten bafards were born annually, and became chargeable to the poor-rate, this experiment was tried, and although a very confiderable length of time has fince elapfed, there has not yet been any occafion to repeat the experiment. So that in this, as in moft instances, intereft is found eventually to coincide with duty. For the encouragement of Parifh-officers it is proper to mention, that (ftrange to fay) the Proctor's Fees are *uncommonly reasonable*, for the whole expences of prefenting a woman for bafardy, do not exceed two pounds, twelve fhillings, and fix-pence.

(DD) *Pro-*

(DD) *Profaneness, immorality, and every kind of vice.*] Quære, Has the order for reading the King's Proclamation against Vice, Immorality, and Profaneness, four times every year in the Parish-churches, been yet recalled?—or has the state of morals in this kingdom been changed so much for the better within the last twelve years, as to supersede the necessity of this custom? If not, how can any Clergyman reasonably complain of the dissoluteness and profligacy of his parishioners, while he himself (from a silly dread of the imputation of *singularity*) neglects to perform that part of his official duty, which is eminently calculated to suppress vice? When this and numberless other instances of unpardonable negligence are become general, need we feel the least astonishment at the insinuation lately thrown out by one, who has rushed foremost in the ranks, and sounded with a louder blast than his fellows, the horn of battle, that we “are at ease in “Zion,” only because we are grown indifferent to her better interests?

(EE) *Our God.*] The Divinity of Christ is so clearly and incontrovertibly established in the sacred writings, that the Socinians, those *foi-disant* rational believers, who, while they deny every doctrine peculiar to the Christian dispensation, have yet the effrontery to arrogate to themselves, exclusively, the appellation of Unitarian Christians, have for a long time past been driven from their strong holds, and been compelled to have recourse to the hackneyed subterfuge of interpolations, mistakes of transcribers, and errors of the press. In pursuance of this practice, they pick and cull every text which makes against their system of belief, if system it can be called, and without the smallest hesitation pronounce it spurious. A remarkable instance of this strange conduct was recently witnessed in a parish in Devonshire, where a zealous Dissenting-teacher went round to the cottagers and requested them to let him look at their bibles; from which he tore out this leaf—blotted out that text—and ran through the other expression—till at length he so irritated the poor peasants, at his ill-treatment of a book, every word of which they had justly been taught to esteem sacred, that he utterly defeated his own object, and found it necessary to quit the neighbourhood.

P O S T S C R I P T.

IT has long since been ascertained, that there exists in this nation a well-disciplined band of literary ruffians, who earn their livelihood by attacking all honest men, who, in these degenerate days, have the courage to tread the beaten track of their forefathers. Their weapons are sharp, and their bows kept constantly bent, that they may privily shoot out their arrows, even bitter words at every unsuspecting traveller in the paths of literature. They endeavour to blast his reputation, by insinuating that something is amiss either in his head, or in his heart. He is sure to be accounted either a fool or a knave—either an enthusiast or a hypocrite—either a weak man or a mad man. And this is the treatment which every writer of common sense and common honesty must always expect to receive from the present banditti of infidels and jacobins, who, under the various characters of Reviewers—Pamphleteers—Satirical Poetafters—Register-writers—Miscellany-writers—Newspaper-writers—and I know not how many other kinds of writers are enlisted in the cause of revolutionary principles, and are straining every nerve to overthrow, and are practising every artifice to undermine the civil and religious establishment of this kingdom. Should therefore any of the worn-out objections to the orthodox doctrines of our church, maintained in the preceding discourse and illustrations—objections which have so repeatedly and so ably been answered by the defenders of that faith, which was once delivered to the Saints—should any of these hackneyed objections be again raked up from the kennel of infidelity—the author will not feel himself called upon to enter the thorny field of controversy; but trusts he shall always, with undaunted resolution, go on his way rejoicing, well assured that the doctrine of the church, to which he belongs, is built upon that chief corner-stone—“the Rock of Ages”—and therefore that the gates of Hell will never eventually prevail against it.

He cannot refrain also from declaring his decided opinion that the Church of England is neither Calvinistic nor Arminian, any more than it is Arian or Socinian:—it is not Calvinistic because it rejects the doctrine of absolute unconditional predestination:—

it



it is not Arminian, because it embraces the doctrine of original sin, and maintains that obedience is inseparable from faith. Upon this latter point Dr. Clarke's opinion is certainly accurate. He says, "The reason, why faith, (which is generally looked upon as an act of understanding, and so not in our power) in the New Testament is always insisted upon as a moral virtue, is, because faith in the scripture sense, is not barely an act of the understanding, but a mixed act of the will also, consisting very much in that simplicity and unprejudicedness of mind, which our Saviour calls, receiving the kingdom of God, as a little child, in that freedom from guile and deceit, which was the character of Nathaniel, an Israelite in deed; and in that teachable disposition, and desire to know the will of God, for which the Bereans were so highly commended, who searched the Scriptures daily whether these things were true." This single sentence contains an ample refutation of every argument produced by Mr. Daubeny in support of the contrary opinion.

In regard to the foregoing discourse, the author has certainly to apologise for its unusual length, and deems himself bound in candour to confess, that, from motives of pure compassion, and a humane consideration of the inclemency of the weather, as well as the shortness of the days, he was induced purposely to omit in the delivery, two or three of the least important paragraphs. But had he prudently considered how very prevalent is the truly laudable taste for seven and ten minute sermons—sermons, the whole excellence of which is generally comprised in the text and the Gloria Patri—he would have had reason to tremble at the probable disgust, which, even in its abridged state, this enormous discourse was likely to excite. It was remarked by Pope, that

"Many by numbers judge a Poet's song,

"And smooth or rough, with them is right or wrong."

A similar observation is applicable to the judgment commonly passed upon sermons at the present day. A stop-watch is the most usual criterion of the merit of a discourse, which fails not to be condemned as an insufferable bore, if it exceed a certain number of minutes. But this is not the only criterion. The smoothness or roughness of the doctrine is another infallible test of excellence. For the taste of most modern congregations precisely

cisely coincides with that of the Jews in the days of Isaiah. "Speak unto us smooth things, prophesie deceits." So that a rough sermon of ten minutes length, is equally offensive with a smooth sermon of fifty-five minutes : but when length is combined with roughness, the effect frequently produced is much more striking—the rankest infidels are compelled by the stings of conscience to quit the church in the midst of service.

Since the preceding Notes and Illustrations were sent to the Press, the following has appeared in the public papers :

HOUSE OF COMMONS, *Monday, February 3d, 1800.*

"Mr. M. A. Taylor said, that a disgraceful innovation had crept in, no less derogatory to the church than debasing of religion ; he alluded to the increasing number of licenced and field-preachers that swarmed in every part of the kingdom, who, generally without talent, and always without qualifications, abused the profession of the Christian religion, and setting themselves up in opposition to order, law, and decency, usurped the province of the men fitly adapted for it. Desirous of remedying and removing such scandalous abuses in future, he gave notice, that, at an early day, he would bring forward a motion for leave to bring in a bill accordingly."

On the above I have but one observation to make, namely, that whoever opposes the principle of this bill, whatever may be his motives, will prove himself an enemy to his Country—an enemy to his King—an enemy to the Church—and an enemy to God.



FINIS.

Published by the same Author,

A S E R M O N,

PREACHED, BY PARTICULAR DESIRE,

IN THE PARISH CHURCH OF WILLAND, DEVON,

On THURSDAY the 7th Day of *February*, 1799,

AT THE INTERMENT OF

JOHN WESTCOTT, YEOMAN,

Who died of a melancholy Accident, in the Prime of Life.



TREWMAN AND SON, PRINTERS, EXETER.



